

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/654,745	09/04/2003	Mark Jackson	11-12767(56070)	5237
	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
P.O. BOX 379	1	CANOE AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791			1761	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ΛA.	
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/654,745	JACKSON, MARK	<b>,</b>	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			idress	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTItute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered time  HS from the mailing date of this c  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ty. ommunication.	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 April 2005.			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·		e merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-29 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,10 and 19</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4,8,9,11,12,20 and 21</u> is/are objection				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.			
D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line in the papplication for a line in the p	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 440)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) 🔲 Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>25 September 2003</u> .		ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-12 in the reply filed on April 8, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search for these claims would be similar to the search for the others. This is not found persuasive because in the case of method claims 22-29 the structure within the claim language is not the guiding factor for the search. Similar method steps could be performed with different structure. Although claims 22-29 will not be searched, claims 13-21 will be searched along with claims 1-12.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hait.

There is disclosed in Hait a juice extractor comprising: pairs of opposing cups 86, 190; a pair of drive members 245 extending along opposite sides of the opposing cups; a plurality of strainer tubes 230 associated with the opposing cups; an orifice tube beam 252 having opposing ends slidable along medial portions of the drive members; a plurality of orifice tubes 261 extending from the orifice tube beam; an end member (see figure 2) connected between opposing ends of the pair of drive members; and a lift

Application/Control Number: 10/654,745 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

assist 251 connected between the end member and the orifice tube beam for moving the orifice tube between a lower maintenance position and an upper operating position, the lift assist comprising a pair of hinges 254, 255 extending between the end member and the orifice tube beam and a lift assist handle 250.

The lift assist provides an additional function. That being to lock the orifice tube beam into position with the help of a spacer member 246.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hait in view of Wahlstedt et al.

Wahlstedt discloses that it is old and well known to use a spring in association with a hinge to provide a lift function to the hinge.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the hinge arrangement of Hait with the spring taught in Wahlstedt, in order to assist with the lifting of the beam.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-18 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,745 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 2-4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Suter et al. and Warren et al. are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

rla May 31, 2005